

# REPORT TO THE CITY COUNCIL

DATE ISSUED:

November 12, 2008

REPORT NO: CCR 08-09

ATTENTION: 002217

Council President and City Council For the Agenda of December 2, 2008

SUBJECT:

Proposed Scope of Services for Permanent Homeless Facility

**REQUESTED ACTION**: Recommend City Council approval of the attached draft Scope of Services to go forward as part of a Request for Proposals/Qualifications (RFP/Q) to local developers and service providers for permanent supportive housing for homeless individuals.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**: Authorize the Housing Commission to solicit proposals from interested parties to develop service enriched housing for homeless individuals in the city.

**SUMMARY**: The Land Use and Housing Committee of the City Council created a task force (the "Permanent Homeless Facility Task Force") to develop recommendations for: 1) identifying and evaluating possible sites for a permanent emergency shelter; 2) developing a list of the essential elements of a shelter; and 3) providing a draft Request for Proposals and/or Qualifications (RFP/Q) for the site.

A subcommittee of the task force was given responsibility for determining essential service elements and drafting a RFP/Q. Through research of best practice models and input from the community, the task force determined the most effective model to address homelessness was not just emergency beds, but permanent housing coupled with services. Many of the successful models studied provided wrap-around services in one convenient location coupled with housing. These models boast a high rate of success not just getting people off the streets, but keeping them from returning. Thus, it was determined a more holistic approach was required to address homelessness in San Diego.

The attached draft RFP/Scope of Services is provided as a model for the City's use in this regard.

On any given day in the City of San Diego there are an estimated 4,500 people who are homeless. People experiencing chronic homelessness are very expensive in mainstream health, treatment, and law enforcement systems and, according to current research, may cost the community between \$35,000 and \$150,000 per person per year if they frequently move through such systems. Permanent housing is the central antidote to homelessness; providing permanent housing with wrap-around support services in the context of permanent housing results in costs ranging from \$13,500 to \$25,000, which provides for significant cost savings to communities. By initiating a higher level of services and housing for homeless individuals and families, San Diego can begin to realize these savings.

In developing a homeless program for the City of San Diego, the issues causing homelessness need to be addressed. People who are homelessness do not simply lack a

November 12, 2008

Proposed Scope of Services for Permanent Homeless Facility

0 0 2 2 1 3

place to reside -- but, rather have a composite of causes, needs, economic circumstances, and disabilities. Some are of short duration, easily resolved, requiring little if any outside assistance or intervention. Others are longstanding, seemingly intractable. It is the goal of this RFP/Scope of Services to propose a strategy to best address a variety of needs, based on best practices of other jurisdictions and on ideas specific to San Diego, making optimal use of the limited resources available.

### **FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS:**

None with this Report. However, development as recommended would require significant public resources.

### PREVIOUS COUNCIL and/or COMMITTEE ACTION:

This RFP was drafted under the direction of the Land Use and Housing Committee's Permanent Homeless Facility Task Force. This proposal was heard and approved by a unanimous vote at the Land Use and Housing council committee meeting of November 5, 2008.

## **COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS:**

The Permanent Homeless Facility Task Force held several meetings to discuss possible action on proposed scope of services for a permanent homeless shelter. Small business owners, residents, service providers and developers were present at the meetings and voiced their concerns regarding the homeless situation in the city.

### **KEY STAKEHOLDERS & PROJECTED IMPACTS:**

Stakeholders include homeless individuals and families, along with potential service providers that might participate in the activities recommended.

Respectfully submitted,

Cissy Fisher

Director, Housing Finance

Approved by,

Carrol M. Vaughan

Executive Vice President &

Chief Operating Officer

Attachments:

1. Scope of Services for Permanent Homeless Facilities

Hard copies are available for review during business hours at the Office of the City Clerk, 202 C Street, San Diego, CA 92101.

# Scope of Services For Permanent Homeless Facility

December 2, 2008

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OR INTERPRETED AS A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES.

#### Introduction

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development(HUD) defines a chronically homeless person as an unaccompanied disabled individual who has been sleeping in one or more places not meant for human habitation or in one or more emergency homeless shelters for over one year or who has had four or more periods of homelessness over three years.

People experiencing chronic homelessness are our most disabled and vulnerable citizens and most likely to die on the streets of our communities; people experiencing chronic homelessness comprise between 10 and 20% of all homeless persons but consume 50% of the resources targeted to homelessness.

People experiencing chronic homelessness are very expensive in mainstream health, treatment, and law enforcement systems and, according to current research, may cost the community between \$35,000 and \$150,000 per person per year in their random ricocheting through such systems. Permanent housing is the central antidote to homelessness; providing permanent housing with wrap-around support services in the context of permanent housing results in costs ranging from \$13,500 to \$25,000, which provides for significant cost savings to communities.

On any given day in the City of San Diego there are an estimated 4,500 people who are homeless. The impact of homelessness on these individuals, on the City as a governing body, and on the City's residents, businesses, and public and private institutions cannot be ignored.

In developing a homeless program for the City of San Diego, the issues causing homelessness need to be addressed. People who are homelessness do not simply lack a place to reside -- but, rather have a composite of causes, needs, economic circumstances, and disabilities. Some are of short duration, easily resolved, requiring little if any outside assistance or intervention. Others are longstanding, seemingly intractable. It is the goal of this RFP to define homelessness, estimating the number of persons each category involves; and to propose a strategy to best address each type of need, based on the best practices of other jurisdictions and on ideas specific to San Diego, making optimal use of the limited resources available.

### **Categories of Homeless**

### A. "Pre-homeless" or "At-Risk of being Homeless"

A number of variables can cause individuals and/or households to be at the brink of losing housing, either occasionally or frequently. Often the cause is economic: a job loss, a family illness, a change in household composition, a rent increase or, foreclosure can challenge or even sabotage efforts to budget for life's necessities, including housing.

Though difficult to estimate, it is believed that about 1 in 10 individuals and households may experience the risk of homelessness in any given year.

### **B. Situationally Homeless**

Similar economic factors, but magnified, with contributing factors of physical, mental and / or health-related disabilities often co-occurring with substance abuse that often compound or even lead to the economic problems. These are factors contributing to episodic homelessness.

Approximately 1,400 individuals/households are homeless for a portion of any year.

### C. Chronically Homeless

### 1. Unable to Afford Housing

The generally accepted standard for a rent to income ratio a household can afford to spend on housing is 30 percent. For lower income people, there are few options if sufficient housing is not available within their means.

### 2. Disabilities and/or Substance Abuse

In absence of appropriate treatment, individuals with physical, mental and /or health-related disabilities and/or substance abuse issues are at an increased risk for displacement. Once homeless, obtaining health care and treatment services is almost insurmountable. Gaps in services provided, lack of health insurance, waiting lists, and the lack of affordable housing are obstacles that must be overcome to prevent this population from becoming chronically homeless.

### **Potential Scope of Services**

It is the intention of this Request for Proposal (RFP) to address homelessness by providing permanent housing coupled with supportive services. Proposals may address either "A" and / or "B" (defined below). Please note that if you are addressing only "A" or "B", you will be expected to work collaboratively with another service provider(s) or developer(s). This RFP will remain open until both objectives are addressed.

Submittals must provide information concerning the qualifications of the respondent(s) with respect to the portion or aspect in which the respondent wants to participate. Specific proposals must, in addition, provide sufficient detail about the proposal(s) to accommodate evaluation of project feasibility and effectiveness in achieving the stated objectives of this RFP/Q.

In order to reduce homelessness and provide appropriate services and service-enhanced housing for the most vulnerable homeless individuals, the City of San Diego (City) is inviting interested parties to submit their proposals demonstrating interest and qualifications to address the needs of chronic homelessness. These proposals may include, but are not limited to, development and/or operation of either or both of the following:

- A. A "one-stop service center" ("Center") in the downtown area of San Diego that would provide a full-range of diagnostic, counseling, referral, ancillary services, and potentially some emergency shelter accommodations for individuals and families who are homeless or at imminent risk of becoming homeless; and/or
- B. Permanent supportive housing units that could be located on a number of sites or located within the downtown area. "Permanent supportive housing" is defined as affordable housing with supportive services intended for a person or family whose head of household is homeless, or at-risk of homelessness, and has multiple barriers to employment and housing stability, which might include mental illness, chemical dependency, and/or other disabling or chronic health conditions.

**NOTE:** All proposals addressing "B" (permanent supportive housing) are required to incorporate a plan for providing coordinated resident services.

Please note that each respondent should clearly identify the primary intent of their proposal, e.g., only responding to Category A, etc.

Attachment A includes two examples of service centers, one of which includes transitional and adjacent permanent supportive housing. These examples are included as best practice examples and should not be construed as a model for the proposers

#### Collaborative Efforts

It is expected that some proposals will involve collaborations of various developers, financiers, social service providers, and perhaps other types of entities. Each proposal should identify the person or organization that will serve as the lead partner of such a collaborative, tasked with the responsibility of bringing the proposal to fruition, and ensuring the ongoing success of the housing and/or services.

While proposals are being sought primarily for the downtown San Diego area, the ideas and solutions presented are likely to be replicated on a regional basis. Addressing chronic homelessness will be accomplished in multiple phases, with downtown development being implemented as Phase I.

#### Services

Services should reflect evidence-based practices that promote wellness and recovery. They should be geared to help residents maintain physical and emotional health and ensure stability. Service and property management strategies include effective, coordinated approaches for addressing issues resulting from substance use, relapse, and mental health crises, with a focus on fostering housing stability. Support services must be easily accessible and available to tenants, and evaluation of services for effectiveness and usefulness should occur on a regular basis.

Services provided could include, but are not limited to the following:

- Substance Abuse Counseling (Group & Individual)
- Mental Health Therapy (Group & Individual)
- Information & Referral
- Outreach
- Case Management
- Senior Services
- Job Skills Training/Education
- On Site Employment
- Childcare or Daycare
- Domestic Violence Services
- Personal Financial management & budgeting
- Personal Hygiene training & services
- Health & Wellness Education
- Dental Care
- Health Care
- Legal Assistance and / or a homeless court
- Health Aide Services (Visiting nurse care)
- Limited emergency housing (shelter beds/accommodations)

### **Target Populations**

Target populations include people who may be homeless (for any length of time) or are at risk of homelessness, and includes those who may be leaving other systems of care without a place to live, such as (1) young people aging out of foster care, (2) people with mental illness or other disabilities leaving jail or prison, (3) some members of the elderly population and, (4) federally defined homeless.

Individuals from the above target populations may either be placed directly into Supportive Housing, or providers may use this new housing capacity for persons currently residing in more service-intensive residential programs, and use the vacated units in the more service intensive programs for the targeted populations detailed above. Such plans are referred to as "backfill" arrangements.

### Specific Sites Proposed

Each proposal should identify any specific sites proposed, and should indicate if the proposal has site ownership, or site control. Proposers should also provide a narrative description of their strategy to gain neighborhood support for the proposed use or uses. Lastly, proposers should provide a narrative description of their prior experience, or the experiences of other applicant team members, in working with communities to successfully site the development components (one stop service center and / or permanent supportive housing) proposed in the application.

Housing units may be designed as studio, one or two bedroom apartments. The proposal must reflect best practices to enable access to services on or off site.

### Financing

Each proposal should estimate both capital and operating funding needed and identify any potential funding sources for the category that is being proposed.

#### **Evaluation Criteria**

All applications will be reviewed and recommended based on the following criteria:

- 1. Organizational Experience and Strength (20 points)
  - Applicant's experience providing housing or service programs similar to those proposed.
  - Applicant's organizational structure, including roles of board and staff members, the use of volunteers, and the agency's fiscal and accounting support.
  - Experience and expertise of key applicant staff members and their roles in the program.
  - Evidence of applicant's team to demonstrate the ability to raise private capital for the proposed
    uses. Such evidence should be in the form of private debt and equity providers' letters stating
    their willingness and ability to provide construction and term financing, and / or private equity
    to the applicant for the proposed use(s) during the 2009 and 2010 years, contingent only on
    normal project due diligence and underwriting.

# 2. Project Design. Location and Program Operations (30 points)

- Appropriateness of the design, location, facility characteristics of the housing and the characteristics
  of the immediate neighborhood of the proposed project as well as the facility's proximity to other
  support services.
- Level and type of services offered, including:
  - o Responsiveness of the program to the housing and service needs of the client population
  - o Comprehensiveness of service delivery design
  - o Level and type of support services, both on and off site
  - Level and frequency of case management
  - O Activities to assist in transitioning residents to permanent housing

### 3. Leverage (25 points)

Proposals with multiple funding sources and the greatest amounts of non-local public fund money leveraged (as a percentage of total program cost) will receive maximum points. Sample scoring sheets for competitive programs should be attached to the project proforma.

### 4. Innovation and Collaboration (10 points)

- How the proposal incorporates a new approach to meeting the housing needs of the target population and/or provides an innovative solution to reducing or remediating homelessness.
- Coordination of services, including referrals to other service providers and coordination of followup activities.

### 5. Outcome Measures (15 points)

• Applicant's statement of its goals, how outcomes will be measured and how program success will be evaluated, with primary emphasis on goals related to increasing self sufficiency.

### **Selection Process**

All applications will be reviewed and evaluated by Housing Commission staff to verify that applications are responsive (inclusive of required documents). An Evaluation Committee will be selected to review and rank each proposal in accordance with the criteria. Respondents receiving the highest number of points will be asked to do a presentation on their proposal during which the committee will have the opportunity to ask questions to clarify their understanding of the proposal.

The Housing Commission reserves the right to request "best and final" proposals from selected respondents based on the evaluation criteria and oral presentations. Negotiations will occur with the respondent(s) that is selected based on evaluation of the best and final proposals.

### **Conditions**

By the act of submitting a proposal, respondent acknowledges and agrees to the terms and conditions of this RFP/Q and to the accuracy of the information submitted. All proposals become the property of the San Diego Housing Commission.

NOTE: Documents used to create this Scope of Services and to which respondents should refer for additional clarification include:

- 1) Plan to End Chronic Homelessness (September 2006)
- 2) PTECH Intake Committee Report (February 2008)
- 3) Chronic Homelessness Summary & Recommendations (R. Ortiz, January 2008)

### Attachment A

### **Best Practice Models**

### PATH Mall-Los Angeles, CA

- PATH Mall is a 40,000 sq foot service facility where homeless people can literally "shop" for services provided by over 20 on-site social service providers, all under one roof. The facility is centrally located and within walking distance to public transportation.
- The building is located at the end of a dead end street and was considered to be a blight on the neighborhood, therefore NIMBY was not an issue during the planning process. However, several local business owners voiced their concerns about the homeless camping out on the streets after hours. To address the issue, PATH has an outreach team in place and a relationship with LAPD. Individuals found camping on the streets, they are not permitted to use the services offered at PATH.
- Services available include case management, substance abuse counseling, a job center, mental health services, legal advocacy, healthcare, and a beauty salon, all services are free of charge.
- Service providers are not charged rent, but must support the cost of running their services. PATH pays the overhead cost of operating the building. MOUs are used instead of contracts, but this leaves PATH powerless to enforce operation guidelines.
- Four Caseworkers manage approximately 1,200 cases every month. The facility also employs 24-hr security and one maintenance worker.
- Case management, client tracking, and program outcomes are linked among agencies through a computerized database. In addition, regular case management meetings are held among agencies to help streamline data management.
- The second floor provides emergency and transitional housing. 39 beds for individual men, 21 beds for individual women, 18 beds in private rooms for individuals with special needs, and 20 beds for mothers and children. Individual rooms are small and just big enough to fit a bed and a night stand. The rooms are enclosed by half walls in a dorm like setting. Although the rooms are small, they provide shelter and safety from the streets, without promoting a lengthy stay. The family center also has a tutoring program and recreational activities available. There is no permanent supportive housing on-site; although this will be incorporated into the program design.
- In the course of a year, 1,200 people received mental health assistance, 500 people found permanent employment, 850 people received health care, and over 6,000 people received free haircuts and manicures.

### St. Patrick's Partnership Center-St. Louis, MO

- The Partnership Center is a 100,000 sq foot service center, which provides 22 different programs all in one central location. The facility is a one stop shop conveniently located downtown and close to public transportation.
- Services available include mobile outreach, casserole program (volunteers serving hot lunches and dinners to clients on a daily basis), intake and assessment, child drop-in center, healthcare, substance abuse treatment, independent living skills, job training, and other day programs all free of charge. In addition, assistance is available for those on the verge of becoming homeless. The Neighborhood Support program provides assistance in the form of rent money, clothing, food, bus tickets, and other household goods to help maintain housing stability.

- The 4<sup>th</sup> floor houses the BEGIN program, which stands for Business, Employment Growth Incomes Neighborhoods. The program is aimed at teaching various trades to clients in hopes of promoting self sufficiency. In addition, the organization manages McMurphy's Grill, a restaurant that provides job training for clients.
- Case management, client tracking, and program outcomes are linked among agencies, through a computerized database; regular case management meetings are held among agencies.
- There is no housing on-site, but the center operates 14 units of transitional supportive housing (off site) that serve the chronically homeless population. Services include medical and psychiatric care, employment counseling, education about self care, meals and clothing, and referral to other programs. A 26-unit permanent supportive housing complex is adjacent to and compliments the transitional living center. The units provide private bathrooms, a common area, efficiency kitchens, and common laundry facilities. Services are similar to those offered in the transitional living center. Unemployed residents help earn their rent with housekeeping duties through on-the-job training.
- The Center is currently undergoing a transition from 80% government funding to a goal of 30%. The funding source is to be offset by rents charged to service providers, city funding, and private donations.

CITY ATTORNEY  San Diego Housing Commission  4. SUBJECT:  Proposed Scope of Services for Permanent Homeless Facility  6. PERMARY CONTACT (NAME, PHONE, & MAIL STA.)  CISSY FISHER 578.7585 M.S. 49N  Carrol Vaughan 578.7544  8. COMPLETE FOR ACCOUNTING PURPOSES  FUND  346  DEPT. Housing Finance  ORGANIZATION  OBJECT ACCOUNT  JOB ORDER  C.I.P. NUMBER  AMOUNT  10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  ROUTE APPROVING AUTHORITY APPROVING AUTHORITY APPROVAL SIGNATURE  ROUTE SIGNED (M) AUTHORITY APPROVAL SIGNATURE  M / A DEPUTY CAME OF THE PROVAL SIGNATURE SIGNATURE  M / A DEPUTY CAME OF THE PROVAL SIGNATURE SIGNATURE  SECONDARY CONTACT (NAME, PHONE, & MAIL STA.)  7. CHECK BOX IF REPORT TO COUNCIL IS ATTACHED  7. CHECK BOX IF REPORT TO COUNCIL IS ATTACHED  7. CHECK BOX IF REPORT TO COUNCIL IS ATTACHED  7. CHECK BOX IF REPORT TO COUNCIL IS ATTACHED  8. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION / ESTIMATED COST  9. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION / ESTIMATED COST  9. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION / ESTIMATED COST  9. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION / ESTIMATED COST  10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  APPROVING AUTHORITY APPROVING AUTHORITY APPROVAL SIGNATURE SIGNATURE  10. ROUTE APPROVING AUTHORITY APPROVAL SIGNATURE  10. RO		0222	39	REQU	EST FOR C	AN DIEGO	TION	<u> </u>		1. CERTIFICATE NUMI (FOR AUDITOR'S US	
SUBJECT:  Proposed Scope of Services for Permanent Homeless Facility  Frendary Contract Name, Priore, a Mail Str.]  E. SECONDARY CONTRACT NAME, PRIORE, a Mail Str., a Mail	CITY ATTORNEY			NEY	2. FROM (ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT):  San Diego Housing Commission						
CISSY FISHER 578.7585 M.S. 49N  8.COMPLETE FOR ACCOUNTING PURPOSES  PURD  346  BEPT  HOUSING FINANCE  10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  11. GORET PISHER  12. COMPLETOR WITH APPROVING APPROVALS APPROVAL SOMATURE  13. COMPLETOR  14. COMPLETOR  15. COMPLETOR WITH APPROVING APPROVAL SOMATURE  16. COMPLETOR WITH APPROVING APPROVAL SOMATURE  17. COMPLETOR  18. COMPLETOR APPROVING APPROVAL SOMATURE  19. COMPLETOR APPROVING APPROVAL SOMATURE  10. COMPLETOR APPROVING APPROVAL SOMATURE  11. COMPLETOR APPROVING APPROVAL SOMATURE  12. COMPLETOR APPROVING APPROVAL SOMATURE  13. COMPLETOR APPROVING APPROVAL SOMATURE  14. COMPLETOR APPROVING APPROVAL SOMATURE  15. COMPLETOR APPROVING APPROVAL SOMATURE  16. COMPLETOR APPROVING APPROVI	4. SUBJE	CT:			Sun Diego	Trousing Cor	11111133	1011			
CISSY FISHER 578.7585 M.S. 49N  8.COMPLETE FOR ACCOUNTING PURPOSES  14. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION / ESTIMATED COL  15. PRIMARER  16. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  16. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  17. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  18. SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  19. COUNCIL DISTRICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  19. REPRARATION OF:  10. REPROVING APPROVAL BONALIZES BY PROVIDING FERMANENT HOUSING COUPLED WITH SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. THIS IS A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11. SEAP RECOMMENDATIONS:  12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTION:  13. SEAP RECOMMENDATIONS:  14. SEAP RECOMMENDATIONS:  15. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTION:  16. SET ATTORNEY ARE SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRIBUTED  17. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTION:  18. SEAP RECOMMENDATIONS:  19. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTION:  19. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTION:  19. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTION:  19. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTIONS:  19. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTION:  19. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTIONS:  19. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTIONS:  19. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTIONS:  19. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A. R. 220 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICTIONS  19. SPECIAL CONDITI	Propo	sed Scop	e of	Services for	Permanent H	omeless Facil	ity				
8. COMPLETE FOR ACCOUNTING PURPOSES  9. ADDITIONAL DEFORMATION / ESTIMATED COLORGANICATION / STIMATED								NE, & MAIL STA.)	7, CHECK BOX IF R	EPORT TO COUNCIL IS ATT	ACHED
UND 346  EPT. HOUSING FINANCE  BOOKANSATON  INJECT ACCOUNT  10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  BOOKER  10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  11. CASSY PISHER  11. CASSY PISHER  12. CARROL VAUGHAN  2. CARROL VAUGHAN  3. COO  13. COO  14. COOK TOOMS  15. CITY ATTORNEY  16. COOK TOOMS  17. PREPARATION OF:  18. COOK TOOMS  19. PRESIDENT  19. PRESIDENT  19. PRESIDENT  19. PRESIDENT  19. RESOLUTIONS  10. COUNCIL LIAISON  11. COOK TOOMS  11. STAPF RECOMMENDATIONS:  11. STAPF RECOMMENDATIONS:  11. STAPF RECOMMENDATIONS:  12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  14. STAPF RECOMMENDATIONS:  15. STAPF RECOMMENDATIONS:  16. COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITY WIDE  17. COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITY WIDE  18. COMMUNITY AREA(S):  19. COMMUNITY AREA(S):  19. COMMUNITY AREA(S):  10. COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITY WIDE  10. COMMUNITY ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS  10. CONTROL LY HOMELESS  10. APPROVAL SIGNATURE  10. REPORT OF THE STAPP RECOMMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.	Jissy	Fisher 578.	7585	M.S. 49N				TING BURBOSS			
EPT. HOUSING FINANCE  RECET ACCOUNT  10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  11. CASSY FISHER ORECTOR  12. CASROL VAUGHAN  13. COLOUTING AND APPROVAL SIGNATURE  14. CASROL VAUGHAN  15. COLOUTING AND APPROVAL SIGNATURE  16. COLOUTING AND APPROVAL SIGNATURE  17. COLOUTING AND APPROVAL SIGNATURE  18. COLOUTING AND APPROVAL SIGNATURE  19. APPROVAL SIGNATURE  10. COLOUTING AND APPROVAL SIGNATURE  11. CASROL VAUGHAN  12. CASROL VAUGHAN  13. COLOUTING AND APPROVAL SIGNATURE  14. CITY ATTORNEY  15. COLOUTING AND APPROVAL SIGNATURE  16. COLOUTING APPROVAL SIGNATURE  17. COLOUTING APPROVAL SIGNATURE  18. COLOUTING APPROVAL SIGNATURE  19. COLOUTING APPROVAL SIGNATURE  10. COLOUTING APPROVAL SIGNATURE  11. PREPARATION OF:  11. PREPARATION OF:  12. RESERVICES AND RESERVICES BY PROVIDING PERMANENT HOUSING COUPLED WITH SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. THIS IS A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS.  11B. COLOUTIONS (REFER TO A. R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  11A. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS.  11A. STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON COLOURS.  11A. STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON COLOURS.  11B. COLOURS.  11B. COLOURS.  11B. COLOURS.  11B. COLOURS.  11B. COLOURS.  11B. COLOURS.  1	LIAND.		-	246	8.001	-LETE FOR AC	COUNT	ING FORFOSI		ONAL INFORMATION / EST	IMATED COST:
RIBBECT ACCOUNT  10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  NOUTE APPROVING (P) AUTHORITY APPROVING (P) APPROVAL SIGNATURE SIGNED (P) AUTHORITY APPROVING (P) AUTHORITY ALEX SACHS OUT ALEX SACHS OUT AUTHORITY ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN AUTHORITY ALEX SACHS OUT AUTHORITY ALEX SACHS OUT AUTHORITY ALEX SACHS OUT AUTHORITY ALEX SACHS OUT AUTHORI			7.7					<del> </del>			
TO BORDER  THE NUMBER  TO BORDER  THE NUMBER  TO BORDER  THE NUMBER  THE NUMBE		(A770h)	Ho	using Finance	<u> </u>			<del>                                     </del>			
10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  **ROUTE APPROVING**  **IN MUMBER**  **IN MOUNT**  **IN APPROVAL SIGNATURE**  **IN A STANF RECOMMENDATION OF SIGNATURE**  **IN A STANF RECOMMENDATION OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.**  **IN A STANF RECOMMENDATION OF STOP**  **IN A STAN					<u>                                     </u>			<del> </del>			
TO ROUTING AND APPROVALS    COUNTY   APPROVAL SIGNATURE   SIGNED			<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u>                                     </u>						
10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS  ROUTE APPROVING APPROVING APPROVAL SIGNATURE  11. CISSY FISHER ORGEROU AUGUSTAN 12. CERROU AUGUSTAN 13. CERROU AUGUSTAN 14. COOL TO BE COOL TO BE COUNCIL LIAISON 15. CITY ATTORNEY 16. COUNCIL SPOR CONSENT COUNCIL LIAISON 17. DOCKET COORD: 18. COUNCIL SPOR CONSENT COUNCIL LIAISON 19. COUNCIL SPOR CONSENT COUNCIL LIAISON 20. COUNCIL DISTRICTOR COUNCIL LIAISON 20. COUNCIL COUNCIL LIAISON 21. SPOR CONSENT COUNCIL LIAISON 20. COUNCIL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.) 20. COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE 20. COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE 20. COMMUNITY AREA(S): 20. ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT. WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS						····					
10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS    COUTING AND APPROVALS SIGNATURE   DATE   ROUTE   APPROVING   APPROVAL SIGNATURE   SIG			<u> </u>			-		<u> </u>			
ROUTE APPROVING (9) APPROVAL SIGNATURE  DATE SIGNED  1 CISSY FISHER ORECOR  1 CARROL VAUGHAN SCOULTINE VP 8  1 I DRUTY CHEEP  DEPUTY CHEEP  DE			l <u></u>			IA POLITING A	ND AD	DBOVAL C			
APPROVAL SIGNATURE  SIGNED  IN AUTHORITY  APPROVAL SIGNATURE  SIGNATURE  SIGNED  IN AUTHORITY  APPROVAL SIGNATURE  SIGNED  IN AUTHORITY  APPROVAL SIGNATURE  SIG	OUTE	ADDDOVA	vc.	<del> </del>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>	DATE
DIRECTOR    CARROL VAUGHAN   COO   COUNCIL LAISON   COO   COUNCIL LAISON   COUNCIL LAISON   COUNCIL COORD   COUNCIL LAISON   COUNCIL COUN				APPROV	AL SIGNATURE				АРР	ROVAL SIGNATURE	SIGNED
2 CORROL VAUGHAN DOCUME VP & DOCKET COORD:  10 ORIG. DEPT  11 ORIG. DEPT  12 DOCKET COORD: COUNCIL LIAISON  13 DOCKET COORD: COUNCIL LIAISON  14 DOCKET COORD: COUNCIL LIAISON  15 COUNCIL LIAISON  16 COUNCIL DISPOSED CONSENT COUNCIL LIAISON  17 DOCKET COORD: COUNCIL DISPOSED CONSENT COUNCIL LIAISON  18 COUNCIL DISPOSED CONSENT COUNCIL LIAISON  19 DOCKET COORD: COUNCIL LIAISON  10 DEED(S)  SCOPE OF SERVICES ADDRESSING HOMELESS BY PROVIDING PERMANENT HOUSING COUPLED WITH SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. THIS IS A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS: OPEN REPROVIDED CONTROL TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS  OPEN REPROVIDED CONTROL TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS  OPEN REPROVIDED CONTROL TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS  OPEN REPROVIDED CONTROL TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS  OPEN REPROVIDED CONTROL TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS  OPEN REPROVIDED CONTROL TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS  OPEN REPROVIDED CONTROL TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS  OPEN REPROVIDED CONTROL TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS  OPEN REPROTOR  OPEN	1			Cissu 7	Sher	1/11/2	8	DEPUTY CHIEF	Bett	Cunay for	5 1/20/0
DOCKET COORD:    DOCKET COORD:   COUNCIL LIAISON	2	EXECUTIVE VI		1)	L-	11/0/8	,	coo			
11. PREPARATION OF: RESOLUTIONS ORDINANCE(S) AGREEMENT(S) DEED(S)  SCOPE OF SERVICES ADDRESSING HOMELESS BY PROVIDING PERMANENT HOUSING COUPLED WITH SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. THIS IS A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:  OPEN REFP/Q FOR A "ONE STOP" CENTER PROVIDING SERVICES TO HOMELESS PERSONS AND FAMILIES AND FOR DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE SAME CLIENTELE.  12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE  COMMUNITY AREA(S):  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS				. (	. \		iõ	CITY ATTORNEY	ALEX SACHS	2011/22	11/20/
COUNCIL PRESIDENT SPOB CONSENT COUNCIL DATE: 1.2/  11. PREPARATION OF: RESOLUTIONS ORDINANCE(S) AGREEMENT(S) DEED(S)  SCOPE OF SERVICES ADDRESSING HOMELESS BY PROVIDING PERMANENT HOUSING COUPLED WITH SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. THIS IS A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:  OPEN RFP/Q FOR A "ONE STOP" CENTER PROVIDING SERVICES TO HOMELESS PERSONS AND FAMILIES AND FOR DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE SAME CLIENTELE.  12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE  COMMUNITY AREA(S):  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS	4						11	ORIG. DEPT	<u> </u>		- Tit
COUNCIL PRESIDENT SPOB CONSENT COUNCIL DATE: 12/  11. PREPARATION OF: RESOLUTIONS ORDINANCE(S) AGREEMENT(S) DEED(S)  SCOPE OF SERVICES ADDRESSING HOMELESS BY PROVIDING PERMANENT HOUSING COUPLED WITH SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. THIS IS A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:  OPEN RFP/Q FOR A "ONE STOP" CENTER PROVIDING SERVICES TO HOMELESS PERSONS AND FAMILIES AND FOR DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE SAME CLIENTELE.  12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE  COMMUNITY AREA(S):  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS								DOCKET COORD:	<u></u>	CONTINUE MAISON	<u></u>
PRESIDENT   REFER TO: COUNCIL DATE: 1 2/   11. PREPARATION OF: RESOLUTIONS   ORDINANCE(S)   AGREEMENT(S)   DEED(S)   SCOPE OF SERVICES ADDRESSING HOMELESS BY PROVIDING PERMANENT HOUSING COUPLED WITH SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. THIS IS A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.    11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS: OPEN REPO/ FOR A "ONE STOP" CENTER PROVIDING SERVICES TO HOMELESS PERSONS AND FAMILIES AND FOR DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE SAME CLIENTELE.   12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)   COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE     COMMUNITY AREA(S):     ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.     HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS					<del></del>		/		T 2000 82	······································	DOM:
11. PREPARATION OF: RESOLUTIONS ORDINANCE(S) AGREEMENT(S) DEED(S)  SCOPE OF SERVICES ADDRESSING HOMELESS BY PROVIDING PERMANENT HOUSING COUPLED WITH SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. THIS IS A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:  OPEN RFP/Q FOR A "ONE STOP" CENTER PROVIDING SERVICES TO HOMELESS PERSONS AND FAMILIES AND FOR DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE SAME CLIENTELE.  12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE  COMMUNITY AREA(S):  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS			-				<b>  ▼</b>		□ SPOR ——1738		
SCOPE OF SERVICES ADDRESSING HOMELESS BY PROVIDING PERMANENT HOUSING COUPLED WITH SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. THIS IS A FINAL SCOPE OF SERVICES AND IS NOT MEANT TO BE DISTRIBUTED AS SUCH.  11A STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:  OPEN RFP/Q FOR A "ONE STOP" CENTER PROVIDING SERVICES TO HOMELESS PERSONS AND FAMILIES AND FOR DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE SAME CLIENTELE.  12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE  COMMUNITY AREA(S):  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS	7	<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	REFER TO:	COUNCIL D.	ATE: 1 2/2/2
OPEN RFP/Q FOR A "ONE STOP" CENTER PROVIDING SERVICES TO HOMELESS PERSONS AND FAMILIES AND FOR DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE SAME CLIENTELE.  12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE  COMMUNITY AREA(S):  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS	SC	OPE OF SER	VICE:	S ADDRESSING	HOMELESS BY P	ROVIDING PERM	IANENI	HOUSING COU		•	
12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)  COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE  COMMUNITY AREA(S):  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS	OP	EN RFP/Q F	OR A	ONE STOP" CE					ND FAMILIES A	AND FOR DEVELÖPM	IENT
COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): CITYWIDE  COMMUNITY AREA(S):  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS									FOTION :		<del> </del>
COMMUNITY AREA(S):  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS				•		DIFORMATION	IA COMI	- LEHNO ING S	ECTION.J		
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS											
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) PURSUANT TO SECTION 15060(C)(3) OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES. THIS ACTIVITY IS ALSO EXEMPT FROM REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AS NO FEDERAL FUN ARE INVOLVED.  HOUSING IMPACT: WILL CREATE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR CHRONICALLY HOMELESS				<u></u>							
	E)	NVIRONMI CTIVITY IS	ENTA S ALS	L QUALITY A	CT (CEQA) PUR	SUANT TO SEC	TION 1	5060(C)(3) OF T	HE STATE CE	QA GUIDELINES.	
OTHER ISSUES: NONE	Ħ	OUSING I	MPA	CT: WILL	CREATE SUPP	ORTIVE HOU	SING	FOR CHRON	ICALLY HO	MELESS	
	<u>Q</u>	THER ISS	UES	NONE							

RESOLUTION NUMBER R									
DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE									

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SAN DIEGO HOUSING COMMISION, ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, TO ACCEPT PROPOSALS FOR A PERMANENT HOMELESS FACILITY

WHEREAS the City Council [Council] has determined that a permanent housing facility coupled with services for homeless individuals would be of significant public benefit; and

WHEREAS the Permanent Homeless Facility Task Force, and a subcommittee thereof, has worked to develop draft Scope of Services to allow the solicitation of proposals for the provision of permanent supportive housing and supportive services for homeless individuals; and

WHEREAS the Council now directs that a Request for Proposals [RFP] process be finalized and managed by the San Diego Housing Commission [Commission] on behalf of the City; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, that, as described in City Council Report CCR 08-009 and Attachment 1 thereto, the Council does hereby recommend the draft RFP/Scope of Services which will be used by the Commission as a model for a final RFP open to the public.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that no further action by the Council or the Housing Authority is necessary prior to the Commission's release of the final RFP for public review and applications.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this activity does not constitute a "project" within the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act [CEQA] pursuant to Section 15060(c)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines. Furthermore, this activity is exempt from review under the National Environmental Policy Act [NEPA] as no federal funds are involved in the activity at this time.

Attorney
vas passed by the Council of the City of San
ELIZABETH S. MALAND City Clerk
By Deputy City Clerk
JERRY SANDERS, Mayor
JERRY SANDERS, Mayor